

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				1000
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,499	01/16/2001	Mark Ontiveros	CAPTNE/P002A1	5908
29914	7590 04/21/2004	EXAMINER		NER
DKW LAW GROUP, P.C. 58TH FLOOR - USX TOWER 600 GRANT STREET			EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURG	PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			1
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	, J

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i></i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/761,499	ONTIVEROS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Hussein A El-chanti	2157			
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	nuary 2001.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) dobjected or b) dobjected or b) dobjected or abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Application for a list of the priorical application from the International Application from the Internation from the International Application from the Internation from the Internation from the Internation from the Internation from	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Amadaranta					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to application filed on Jan. 16, 2001. Claims 1-20 are pending examination.

Drawings

2. Formal Drawings are required to be submitted by applicant.

Claim Objections

3. A series of singular dependent claims is permissible in which a dependent claim refers to a preceding claim which, in turn, refers to another preceding claim.

A claim which depends from a dependent claim should not be separated by any claim which does not also depend from said dependent claim. It should be kept in mind that a dependent claim may refer to any preceding independent claim. In general, applicant's sequence will not be changed. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim 6 is dependent on claim 3 and separated by claims 4 and 5.

4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The seventh line of the claim states "form". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cunningham et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,219,786 (referred to hereafter as Cunningham).

As to claim 1, Cunningham teaches a method of protecting a network from potentially harmful data traffic traversing a plurality of data ports of the network, the data traffic comprising data packets, the method comprising the steps of:

monitoring all the data packets traversing the data ports from a plurality of sources (see col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 5);

determining the number of data packets form each source traversing the data ports during a predetermined period of time (see col. 9 lines 1-12); and

denying access to the data ports to data packets from a particular source if the number of packets traversing the ports from that source is greater than a predetermined number during the predetermined period of time (see col. 9 lines 1-12).

As to claim 2, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 1 wherein the step of denying access to the source is automatic (see col. 9 lines 1-12).

As to claim 3, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 1 further comprising the step of copying each of the data packets for monitoring (see col. 7 lines 56-col. 8 lines 8).

As to claim 4, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 1 wherein the step of monitoring further comprises monitoring both incoming and outgoing data packets traversing the data ports (see col. 4 lines 31-44).

Application/Control Number.

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 5, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 1 where the step of monitoring further comprises separately monitoring the data packets traversing each of the data ports (see col. 10 lines 1-20).

As to claim 6, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 3 further comprising using protocol information of the copied data packets in denying access to the data ports (see col. 9 lines 1-12).

As to claim 7, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 6 wherein the step of using the protocol information further comprises storing in a memory the source addresses of the data packets traversing the data ports during the predetermined period of time (see col. 6 lines 49-67).

As to claim 8, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 7 further comprising sorting the data packets traversing the data ports based upon the source addresses of each data packet (see col. 6 lines 49-67).

As to claim 9, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 8 wherein the step of sorting further comprises creating a reference index having a number count for determining the number of data packets from each source traversing the data ports and incrementing the number count when subsequent data packets from the same source address traverse the data ports during the predetermined period of time (see col. 9 lines 1-12).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 10, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 9 further comprising erasing from memory the reference index after the predetermined period of time expires (see col. 4 lines 31-44).

As to claim 11, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 1 further comprising allowing data packets from sources other than the denied source to traverse the data ports (see col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 5).

As to claim 12, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 1 wherein the predetermined number of packets traversing the data ports and the predetermined period of time is configurable for each of the data ports (see col. 4 lines 32-43).

As to claim 13, Cunningham teaches a method of protecting a data network from data packets being sent from a suspicious source, the method comprising the steps of sampling the data packets and identifying a source that sends packets in excess of a predetermined number during a predetermined time (see col. 4 lines 32-43, col. 9 lines 1-12 and col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 5).

As to claim 14, Cunningham teaches the method according to claim 13 further comprising excluding from the data network data packets transmitted from the identified source (see col. 6 lines 49-67).

As to claim 15, Cunningham teaches a method of protecting a network from data packets transmitted by a suspicious source, the method comprising the steps of sampling the data packets transmitted to and from the network, identifying any source that transmits data packets to and from the network in excess of a predetermined rate,

Art Unit: 2157

and automatically excluding from the network data packets from the identified source for a predetermined time (see col. 4 lines 32-43, col. 9 lines 1-12 and col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 5).

As to claim 16, Cunningham teaches a system for protecting a network, the system comprising a monitoring means programmed for sampling data packets transmitted to and from the network, a memory for storing the sampled data packets and a processor for identifying sources transmitting data packets to and from the network in excess of a predetermined rate (see col. 4 lines 32-43, col. 9 lines 1-12 and col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 5).

As to claim 17, Cunningham teaches the system according to claim 16 wherein the monitoring member is configured to exclude data packets transmitted to and from the network by the identified source (see col. 6 lines 49-67).

As to claim 18, Cunningham teaches the system according to claim 17 wherein the memory is configured to maintain a count of the number of data packets transmitted from any source to and from the network (see col. 9 lines 1-12).

As to claim 19, Cunningham teaches a computer running a plurality of packet daemons for monitoring the data ports of a network, each data port monitored by a separate packet daemon, and each packet daemon configured to identify any source that transmits data packets through its data port in excess of a predetermined rate resulting in the firewall excluding the data packets from the identified source (see col. 4 lines 32-43, col. 9 lines 1-12 and col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 5).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 20, Cunningham teaches the computer of claim 19 further comprising a memory for storing the data packet count of transmitted data packets from any source (see col. 9 lines 1-12).

- **6.** The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Methods And Apparatus For A Computer Network Firewall With Cache Query
 Processing by Coss et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,170,012.
 - Method And Apparatus For Defining And Implementing High-Level Quality Of
 Service Policies In Computer Networks by Gai et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,167,445.
 - Method And Apparatus For Controlling Access To Services Within A Computer
 Network by Wong et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,835,727.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A El-chanti whose telephone number is (703)305-4652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703)308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hussein El-chanti

April 8, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 8